1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TERRY T. JOHNSON, State Bar No. 121569 (tjohn BORIS FELDMAN, State Bar No. 128838 (boris.fe BAHRAM SEYEDIN-NOOR, State Bar No. 20324 CHERYL W. FOUNG, State Bar No. 108868 (cfour BRYAN J. KETROSER, State Bar No. 239105 (bke L. DAVID NEFOUSE, State Bar No. 243417 (dnefo WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100 Attorneys for Defendants UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU, MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, YING WU and THOMAS J	Idman@wsgr.com) 4 (bnow@wsgr.com) ng@wsgr.com) troker@wsgr.com) Duse@wsgr.com) IT IS SO ORDERED Judge James Ware
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13		
14	IN RE UTSTARCOM, INC.) SECURITIES LITIGATION)	Master File No. C-04-4908-JW(PVT)
15)	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
16		EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER
17	This Document Relates to:	PLAINTIFFS' FOURTH AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
18	ALL ACTIONS.	
19)	
20		
21)	
22		
23 24		
$\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix}$		
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$		
27 27		
28		
-		

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EXTENSION TO ANSWER THE 4AC CASE NO. C-04-4908-JW(PVT)

Case 5:04-cv-04908-JW Document 305 Filed 04/13/09 Page 2 of 3

1	WHEREAS, on May 14, 2008 plaintiffs filed their Fourth Amended Class Action		
2	Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Law ("Fourth Amended Complaint");		
3	WHEREAS, on March 27, 2009 the Court denied the motions of defendants UTStarcom,		
4	Inc., Hong Liang Lu, Ying Wu, Michael Sophie, and Thomas Toy's (the "UTStarcom		
5	Defendants"), and defendants SoftBank Corporation, SoftBank America, Inc. and SoftBank		
6	Holdings, Inc. (the "SoftBank Defendants"), to dismiss the Fourth Amended Complaint;		
7	WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)(A), defendants'		
8	deadline to answer the Fourth Amended Complaint is April 10, 2009;		
9	WHEREAS, given the complexity and length of the allegations in the Fourth Amended		
10	Complaint, respective counsel for the UTStarcom Defendants and the SoftBank Defendants		
11	requested an extension until May 8, 2009 to answer the Fourth Amended Complaint, and counsel		
12	for plaintiffs agreed to such extension;		
13	NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to the parties' stipulation and subject to the Court's		
14	approval:		
15	(a) Defendants shall answer the Fourth Amended Complaint on or before May 8,		
16	2009.		
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
18			
19	Dated: April 2, 2009 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation		
20	Professional Corporation		
21			
22	By: <u>/s/ BAHRAM SEYEDIN-NOOR</u> BAHRAM SEYEDIN-NOOR		
23	Attorneys for Defendants		
24	UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU, MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, YING WU, THOMAS		
25	J. TOY		
26			
27			
28			

Case 5:04-cv-04908-JW Document 305 Filed 04/13/09 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: April 2, 2009	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
2		
3		
4		By: <u>/s/ JASON DE BRETTEVILLE</u> JASON DE BRETTEVILLE
5		Attorneys for Defendants
6		SOFTBANK HOLDINGS, INC. SOFTBANK AMERICA, INC. AND
7		SOFTBANK CORPORATION
8		
9		
10	Dated: April 2, 2009	COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
11		ROBBING ELI
12		
13		By: <u>/s/ CHRIS SEEFER</u> CHRIS SEEFER
14		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
15		
16		
17		
18	<u>O</u>	<u>RDER</u>
19	PURSUANT TO THIS STIPULATION	N, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO
20	ORDERED.	
21		1
22		On and had
23	Dated: April 13, 2009	he Hororable James Ware
24	U	nited States District Court Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		
I	II	